SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 06/30/11 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Minneapolis State: MN

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$67,923,891 PJ's Size Grouping*: A PJ Since (FY): 1992

| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (| Percentile):* |
|---|----------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group A | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 6 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 95.20 % | 94.35 % | 3 | 92.63 % | 76 | 71 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 85.00 % | 88.87 % | 6 | 86.67 % | 34 | 34 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 10.3 | 11.08 | 1 | 5.03 | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 99.29 % | 1 | 88.14 % | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 72.46 % | 78.08 % | 5 | 76.19 % | 42 | 35 |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 84.83 % | 88.50 % | 5 | 81.48 % | 68 | 52 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 56.33 % | 56.34 % | 4 | 45.62 % | 81 | 69 |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 99.80 % | 99.59 % | 5 | 96.17 % | 75 | 57 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In S | tate: 4 / 6 | Nation | nally: 86 | 77 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | l Units: | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$26,801 | \$30,508 | | \$28,750 | 1,470 Units | 86.40 |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$49,808 | \$13,819 | | \$15,714 | 178 Units | 10.50 |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$14,938 | \$13,249 | | \$21,140 | 53 Units | 3.10 |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$2,211 | | \$3,230 | 0 Units | 0.00 |

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (216 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (287 PJs)

 $^{^{\}star\star}$ - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

MN Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Minneapolis

Total Development Costs: (average reported cost per unit in HOME-assisted projects)

PJ: State:* National:** Rental \$170,744 \$80,733 \$103,194 Homebuyer \$157,527 \$118,204 \$78,960

Homeowner \$15,201 \$6,635 \$24,147 **CHDO Operating Expenses:** (% of allocation)

PJ:

0.0 % 1.2 % **National Avg:**

R.S. Means Cost Index: 1.17

| DAGE. | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | HOUSEHOLD TYPE | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|----------------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|
| RACE: | 0.1.0 |] | 74 7 | | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | | | | |
| White: | 24.3 | | 71.7 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 41.4 | 38.8 | 39.6 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 60.5 | 36.5 | 17.0 | 0.0 | Elderly: | 1.8 | 0.6 | 13.2 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 41.7 | 29.8 | 24.5 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 8.5 | 1.7 | 3.8 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 10.2 | 24.7 | 3.8 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 4.4 | 6.2 | 18.9 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 1.5 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 3.4 | 10.1 | 7.5 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE: | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 Person: | 36.2 | 35.4 | 39.6 | 0.0 | Section 8: | 36.6 | 0.0 | | |
| 2 Persons: | 22.4 | 18.0 | 43.4 | 0.0 | HOME TBRA: | 2.5 | <u>[</u> | | |
| 3 Persons: | 17.7 | 21.9 | 11.3 | 0.0 | Other: | 16.4 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 12.1 | 10.7 | 3.8 | 0.0 | No Assistance: | 44.6 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 5.6 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 3.9 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.7 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | # of Section 504 Compliant | Units / Co | mpleted Un | its Since 200 | 1 266 |

^{*} The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state



^{**} The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

[#] Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

— HOME PROGRAM — SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Minneapolis State: MN Group Rank: 86

State Rank: 4 / 6 PJs

Overall Rank: 77 (Percentile)

Summary: 0 Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|---------------|--|------------|------------|----------|
| | | | | |
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 85.50% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 60.40% | 72.46 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOMF | < 70%** | 84.83 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 92.15% | 99.8 | |
| "ALLOCATION-Y | /EARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 2.980 | 2.69 | |

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2006 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.